DRAFT PENDING COMMITTEE APPROVAL

AD HOC SCHOOL BOARD REGION REAPPORTIONMENT COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

October 7, 2015

Tredyffrin/Easttown Administrative Offices 7:00 p.m.

Agenda

Attending all or part of the meeting:

Board Committee Members: Doug Carlson (Chair), Scott Dorsey, Kevin Buraks, Karen

Cruickshank

Other Board Members: Kris Graham, Peter Motel

TE School District Representatives: Wendy Towle (Administrative Liaison), Chris Connolly,

Nancy Adams, Rich Gusick

Community Members: Doug Anestad, Jerry Henige, Peggy Layden, Kate Murphy, Ray Clarke, Todd Kantorczyk, Tara Kelly, Jayne Yockey, Alan Yockey, Patrick McHugh, Edward Sweeney,

Alex Hartnell, Roberta Hotinski

The meeting was called to order at 8:03 p.m.

Public Comment:

Alan Yockey commented on the School Director Region Reapportionment Study. Jerry Henige commented on the School Director Region Reapportionment Study. Patrick McHugh commented on the School Director Region Reapportionment Study. Alex Hartnell commented on the School Director Region Reapportionment Study. Kate Murphy commented on the School Director Region Reapportionment Study. Doug Anestad commented on the School Director Region Reapportionment Study. Ray Clarke commented on the School Director Region Reapportionment Study.

Committee Discussion and Recommendations:

The Ad Hoc Reapportionment Committee discussed the School Director Region Reapportionment Study. The goal of the meeting was to examine and discuss the criteria that would be used to help determine the Reapportionment Plan. Two slides provided a timeline for past, current and future work and a pie chart of the current size of the three regions. The District Solicitor provided three non-negotiable criteria: 1. Population deviation between regions can be no more than 10%; 2. Election precinct integrity must be maintained, and 3. Election precincts within a region must be contiguous.

A brainstorming session among Committee members and Community constituents identified additional criteria for consideration. After discussion, all participants indicated their top two criteria. The results are reproduced in the table below.

| Criteria | Count |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Three regions | Consensus |
| Three regions | Consensus |
| Sustainable for some time | 10 |

| Keep neighborhoods together | 5 |
|--|---|
| Compact regions | 4 |
| Create the least disruption as possible | 4 |
| Consider potential population of new developments | 3 |
| Keep plan simple | 3 |
| Consider all possible plans that fall within ratio | 3 |
| 2% or less variance | 1 |
| Developments should be considered only if approved | 0 |
| Consider regions of sitting Board members | 0 |

The Committee identified next steps as follows:

- 1. Ad Hoc Committee will reach a conclusion on appropriate criteria and publicize prior to the next meeting.
- 2. Community constituents will be invited to provide continuing input as plans are developed and considered.
- 3. Ad Hoc Committee will begin to examine population data.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

Next Meeting Date: Wednesday, November 4, 2015, 7:00 p.m., TEAO, Room 200